

# THE NC GAUSS-MANIN CONNECTION ON PERIODIC CYCLIC HOMOLOGY

Alexander  
Shannon  
18.xi.2009

Recall:  $A$  an algebra, have  $C_n(A) = A \otimes (A/1)^{\otimes n}$  reduced bar complex

with differentials:

$$\text{Hochschild: } b(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = a_0 a_1 \otimes a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n - a_0 \otimes a_1 a_2 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + \dots + (-1)^n a_n a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-1}$$

$$\text{Connes: } B(a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) = \sum_{i=0}^n (-1)^{ni} 1 \otimes a_i \otimes a_{i+1} \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i-1},$$

giving rise to homology:

(deg  $u = -2$ )

$$\text{HP.}(A) = H.(C.(A)[[u]], b - uB) \quad \text{periodic cyclic}$$

$$\text{HN.}(A) = H.(C.(A)[u], b - uB) \quad \text{negative cyclic}$$

$$\text{HH.}(A) = H.(C.(A), b) \quad \text{Hochschild}$$

HP., HN. are filtered by degree in  $u$ , as are the complexes which compute them, so the graded pieces of the filtration  $F^\bullet$  are computed by a spectral sequence (no Hodge  $\Rightarrow$  de Rham):

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
 & & \leftarrow u C_3(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & C_2(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & u^{-1} C_1(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & u^{-2} C_0(A) & \\
 & & \downarrow b & \downarrow b & \downarrow b & & \\
 E^0 \text{ term:} & & \leftarrow u C_2(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & C_1(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & u^{-1} C_0(A) & & \\
 & & \downarrow b & \downarrow b & & & \\
 & & \leftarrow u C_1(A) \xleftarrow{uB} & C_0(A) & & & \\
 & & \downarrow b & & & & \\
 & & \leftarrow u C_0(A) & & & & \\
 & & & & & & \text{Only present for HP}
 \end{array}$$

The  $E^1$  term is just  $u^i \text{HH}_i(A)$  (i.e. periodic cycles must have lowest order term in  $u$  a Hochschild cycle).

As we have  $u^i \text{HH}_j(A) \Rightarrow \text{gr}_F^i \text{HP}_{j-2i}(A)$ , there are 'fewer' periodic classes than Hochschild classes, for two 'reasons':

(i) Hochschild cycles may fail to lift: given a Hochschild cycle  $\alpha$ , need a chain  $\alpha_1$  s.t.  $b(\alpha_1) = B(\alpha)$ , so  $(b-uB)(\alpha + u\alpha_1) = \underbrace{b(\alpha)}_0 + \underbrace{ub(\alpha_1) - uB(\alpha)}_0 + \mathcal{O}(u^2)$ ,

so need  $B([\alpha]) = 0$  in  $\text{HH}$ , etc. (Note if  $\alpha \in C_n(A)$  then if  $B([\alpha]) = 0$  in  $\text{HH}_{n+1}$  then space of lifts is  $\text{HH}_{n+2}$ .)

(ii)  $B$  may provide extra boundaries.

In the case where the spectral sequence degenerates at the  $E^1$  term, neither of these happen, so classes in  $\text{HH}$  lift to classes in  $\text{HP}$  (non-zero ones to non-zero ones).

Also,  $\text{HP}, \text{HN}$  viewed as  $\mathbb{C}((u)), \mathbb{C}[[u]]$ -modules are  $\text{Rees}$  modules w.r.t. the filtration  $F^\cdot$  by degree in  $u$ , and the fibre at  $0$  of  $\text{HN}$  is  $\text{HH}$ . So if the  $H \Rightarrow \text{dR}$  s.s. degenerates at the  $E^1$  term,  $\text{HP}$  extends as a vector bundle over  $0$  & this is same as  $\text{HN}$ .

But returning to the form of the cyclic complexes: if  $A$  is just a smooth commutative algebra then  $\text{HKR} \Rightarrow \text{HH}(A)$  computes differential forms on  $\text{Spec } A$ , and  $B$  induces the de Rham differential  $d$ .

Now in this case we also have Hochschild cohomology

$$\text{HH}^i(A) = H^i(\text{Hom}(A^\bullet, A), \delta) \quad (\delta = [-, \cdot] \text{ is dgl structure on } \text{Hom}(A^\bullet, A))$$

which computes  $\text{poly}$  vector fields on  $\text{Spec } A$ . These act on differential forms by contraction ( $\xi \mapsto \iota_\xi$ ) & Lie

derivative ( $\xi \mapsto \mathcal{L}_\xi$ ) & these two actions are related by the Cartan formula:  $[d, \iota_\xi] = \mathcal{L}_\xi$ .

In Getzler, there is a construction of operators  $\iota\{D\}$  &  $\mathcal{L}\{D\}$ , for  $D$  a Hochschild cochain, on the cyclic complexes s.t. a version of the Cartan formula holds:

$$[b - uB, \iota\{D\}] = u\mathcal{L}\{D\} - \iota\{SD\}.$$

Now, in the setting where  $A$  is a vector space with a family of associative multiplications  $m_\nu \in \text{Hom}(A^{\otimes 2}, A)[[\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n]]$  parameterised by  $\nu_i$ , we have the corresponding family of HP's, which are  $\mathbb{C}[[\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n]]((u))$ -modules given by  $H.(\mathbb{C}(A)[[\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n]]((u)), b_\nu - uB)$  (note only  $b$  depends on multiplication).

For a section of this over  $\mathbb{C}[[\nu_1, \dots, \nu_n]]$ , we might naively define a connection by taking derivatives w.r.t. the  $\nu_i$ , but this doesn't descend to homology since  $\frac{\partial(b_\nu - uB)}{\partial \nu_i} = \mathcal{L}\{\dot{\nu}_i\}$

where  $\dot{\nu}_i = \frac{\partial m_\nu}{\partial \nu_i}$  (since  $b_\nu = \mathcal{L}\{m_\nu\}$ ). So we need to

correct our naive connection by something whose commutator with  $b_\nu - uB$  is  $\mathcal{L}\{\dot{\nu}_i\}$ , i.e.  $u^{-1} \iota_\nu \{\dot{\nu}_i\}$ . (Since  $m_\nu$  associative  $\Leftrightarrow [m_\nu, m_\nu] = 0 \quad (\partial/\partial \nu_i) \Rightarrow \delta \dot{\nu}_i = [d_i, m_\nu] = 0$ )

Hence the required connection is  $\nabla = d + u^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \iota_\nu \{\dot{\nu}_i\} d\nu_i$

& Getzler computes explicitly that  $\nabla^2$  is chain homotopic to 0, so this does give a flat connection on HP.

Explicitly, when the form of  $\tau_\nu \{x_i\}$  is applied we have (taking  $n=1$  to simplify notation):

$$\begin{aligned} \nabla(f(\nu) a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n) &= \left( f'(\nu) a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n + \nu^{-1} f(\nu) \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (a_{n-1} a_n) a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{n-2} \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n-1} (-1)^{ni+(j-i)} f(\nu) 1 \otimes a_i \otimes \dots \otimes \frac{\partial}{\partial \nu} (a_j a_{j+1}) \otimes \dots \otimes a_0 \otimes \dots \otimes a_{i-1} \right) d\nu. \end{aligned}$$

Note: Since this can only lower classes in the filtration by one degree, we have an analogue of Griffiths transversality.

Example: The quantum torus:

$$A_2 = \mathbb{C}\langle x^{\pm 1}, y^{\pm 1} \rangle / (xy = qyx) \text{ over } \mathbb{C}[q^{\pm 1}].$$

How to compute HH/HP?  $A_2$  has a resolution as an  $A_2$ -bimodule by a 'quantum Koszul complex' (Wambst):

$$A_2 \otimes \Lambda_2 \otimes A_2 \quad (\Lambda_2 = \mathbb{C}\langle x, y \rangle / \begin{matrix} x^2 = y^2 = 0 \\ xy = qyx \end{matrix})$$

w/ differential

$$d(a \otimes 1 \otimes b) = 0$$

$$d(a \otimes x \otimes b) = ax \otimes 1 \otimes b - a \otimes 1 \otimes xb \text{ \& sim. with } y$$

$$\begin{aligned} \& d(a \otimes xy \otimes b) = ax \otimes y \otimes b - qay \otimes x \otimes b \\ & \quad + a \otimes x \otimes yb - qa \otimes y \otimes xb, \end{aligned}$$

(a deformation of the usual Koszul complex giving  $\mathbb{C}^x \times \mathbb{C}^x$  as a complete intersection in  $(\mathbb{C}^x \times \mathbb{C}^x)^2$ ).

By usual homological algebra, this is chain homotopic to the bar resolution & the homotopies are computable explicitly in terms of the standard contraction of the bar complex. This gives an explicit chain homotopy equivalence between  $(C.(A_2), b)$  and  $(A_2 \otimes \Lambda_2^i, d_{\text{Kos}})$ .

This means we can compute  $\text{HH}^*(A_2) = H^*(A_2 \otimes \Lambda_2^i, d_{\text{Kos}})$ , which turns out to be (in the case  $q$  not a root of 1) generated by the Hochschild cycles  $1, x^{-1} \otimes x, y^{-1} \otimes y$  and  $x^{-1}y^{-1} \otimes x \otimes y - q x^{-1}y^{-1} \otimes y \otimes x$ ;

but also we can compute, for Hochschild boundaries in degrees 2 & above, explicit chains of which they are boundaries (using the homotopy & fact that the Koszul co. is concentrated in degrees 0, 1 & 2).

In this case we have  $H \Rightarrow \text{dR}$  degeneration (can check explicitly that  $B(x^{-1} \otimes x), B(y^{-1} \otimes y), B(1)$  are zero in HH & no room for further differentials). So get a basis for  $\text{HPer}^*(A_2)$  given by

$$\{1, u(x^{-1}y^{-1} \otimes x \otimes y - q x^{-1}y^{-1} \otimes y \otimes x) + \mathcal{O}(u^2)\} = \{1, \alpha\}$$

where the second element is always a generator for the non-trivial part of the Hodge filtration - the G-M connection sees how this varies with  $q$ :

$$\nabla_2(1) = 0$$

$$\nabla_2(\alpha) = \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (xy) x^{-1}y^{-1} - \underbrace{q \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (yx) x^{-1}y^{-1}}_{=0} + \mathcal{O}(u) \quad (\text{take } yx \text{ fixed})$$

$$= \frac{\partial}{\partial q} (2yx) x^{-1}y^{-1} = 1.$$

(5)

& in fact the  $\mathcal{O}(u)$  term is a boundary.

So  $\nabla$  has matrix  $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$  & the horizontal sections are 1 &  $q \cdot 1 - \alpha$ , which by calculations of Connes-Rieffel do indeed form the image of the Chern character map from K-theory as would be desired.

\* This is a  $q$ -deformation of the usual HKR maps

$$C_*(A) \rightarrow \Omega^*(A)$$

$$a_0 \otimes a_1 \otimes \dots \otimes a_n \mapsto \frac{1}{n!} a_0 da_1 da_2 \dots da_n$$

$$\Omega^*(A) \rightarrow C_*(A)$$

$$\int dx_1 \dots dx_n \mapsto \sum_{\sigma \in S_n} \varepsilon(\sigma) \int \otimes x_{\sigma(1)} \otimes \dots \otimes x_{\sigma(n)}$$

replacing  $\varepsilon(\sigma)$  with  $\varepsilon(\sigma) \cdot q^\Delta$

where  $\Delta =$  no. of adjacent swaps required to reach  $\sigma$  which involve interchanging  $x$  &  $y$  (counted +1)  
or  $y$  &  $x$  ( " -1).