

# Vanishing cycles and their algebraic computation (III)

Claude Sabbah



Centre de Mathématiques Laurent Schwartz

UMR 7640 du CNRS

École polytechnique, Palaiseau, France

Programme SEDIGA ANR-08-BLAN-0317-01

# Position of the problem

# Position of the problem

- $X$ : smooth quasi-projective  $/\mathbb{C}$  (Zariski top.)
- $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  **not necess. projective**
- **PROBLEM:** To compute in algebraic terms the monodr. on  $H^k(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}})$

# Position of the problem

- $X$ : smooth quasi-projective  $/\mathbb{C}$  (Zariski top.)
- $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  **not necess. projective**
- **PROBLEM:** To compute in algebraic terms the monodr. on  $H^k(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}})$
- Recall:  $\phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}$  is a constructible complex supported on  $\text{Sing}(f^{-1}(c))$ , equipped with

$$\mathbf{T}_c : \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}} \longrightarrow \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}$$

It induces

$$\mathbf{T}_c : H^k(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}) \longrightarrow H^k(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}})$$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $F$ : a finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((z))} F = \mu$ ,
- $\nabla : F \rightarrow F \otimes dz$  a **connection**, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\partial_z} : F \rightarrow F$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear + Leibniz rule.

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $F$ : a finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((z))} F = \mu$ ,
- $\nabla : F \rightarrow F \otimes dz$  a **connection**, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\partial_z} : F \rightarrow F$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear + Leibniz rule.
- $v = (v_1, \dots, v_\mu)$ :  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -basis of  $F$ ,
- Matrix of  $\nabla$ :  $\nabla_{\partial_z} v = v \cdot A_v(z)$
- Change of basis:  $w = v \cdot P(z) \Rightarrow$

$$A_w(z) = P(z)^{-1} A_v(z) P(z) + P(z)^{-1} P'_z$$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $F$ : a finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((z))} F = \mu$ ,
- $\nabla : F \rightarrow F \otimes dz$  a **connection**, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\partial_z} : F \rightarrow F$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear + Leibniz rule.
- $v = (v_1, \dots, v_\mu)$ :  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -basis of  $F$ ,

- Matrix of  $\nabla$ :  $\nabla_{\partial_z} v = v \cdot A_v(z)$

- Change of basis:  $w = v \cdot P(z) \Rightarrow$

$$A_w(z) = P(z)^{-1} A_v(z) P(z) + P(z)^{-1} P'_z$$

- **DEFINITION:**  $(F, \nabla)$  has **reg. sing.** if  $\exists$  a basis  $v$  s.t.  
 $A_v(z) = M/z$ ,  $M$  cst. matrix. Set  $E = \mathbb{C} \cdot v$ .



# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $F$ : a finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space,  $\dim_{\mathbb{C}((z))} F = \mu$ ,
- $\nabla : F \rightarrow F \otimes dz$  a **connection**, i.e.,  $\nabla_{\partial_z} : F \rightarrow F$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear + Leibniz rule.
- $v = (v_1, \dots, v_\mu) : \mathbb{C}((z))$ -basis of  $F$ ,

- Matrix of  $\nabla$ :  $\nabla_{\partial_z} v = v \cdot A_v(z)$

- Change of basis:  $w = v \cdot P(z) \Rightarrow$

$$A_w(z) = P(z)^{-1} A_v(z) P(z) + P(z)^{-1} P'_z$$

- **DEFINITION:**  $(F, \nabla)$  has **reg. sing.** if  $\exists$  a basis  $v$  s.t.  $A_v(z) = M/z$ ,  $M$  cst. matrix. Set  $E = \mathbb{C} \cdot v$ .
- $T : \exp(-2\pi i M) : E \rightarrow E$  indept. of such choice:  
**Monodromy** of  $(F, \nabla)$  (**reg. sing.**)

- $\widehat{\text{RH}}(F, \nabla) = (E, T)$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $E$ : finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}$ -vect. space with autom.  $T : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$
- Choose  $M : E \longrightarrow E$  s.t.  $T = \exp(-2\pi i M)$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $E$ : finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}$ -vect. space with autom.  $T : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$
- Choose  $M : E \longrightarrow E$  s.t.  $T = \exp(-2\pi i M)$



$$\widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(E, T) := (\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E, \nabla), \quad \nabla = d + Mdz/z$$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $E$ : finite dim.  $\mathbb{C}$ -vect. space with autom.  $T : E \xrightarrow{\sim} E$
- Choose  $M : E \longrightarrow E$  s.t.  $T = \exp(-2\pi i M)$



$$\widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(E, T) := (\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E, \nabla), \quad \nabla = d + Mdz/z$$

- $\widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(E, T)$ :  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space with **reg. sing.** connection.
- $\Rightarrow \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}(\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} E, \nabla) = (E, T)$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $(F, \nabla)$  any  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space with connection.

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $(F, \nabla)$  any  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space with connection.
- Look for an analogue of Jordan's decomp. of  $A(z)$ .

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $(F, \nabla)$  any  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space with connection.
- Look for an analogue of Jordan's decomp. of  $A(z)$ .
- **Levelt-Turrittin** decomp. (up to  $z \rightsquigarrow z^{1/e}$ )

$$(F, \nabla) \simeq \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}((z))} (F_{\varphi}, \nabla^{\text{reg}} + d\varphi \text{Id})$$

Moreover,  $[\varphi] \in \mathbb{C}((z))/\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $(F_{[\varphi]}, \nabla^{\text{reg}})$   
***uniquely determined.***



# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- $(F, \nabla)$  any  $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. space with connection.
- Look for an analogue of Jordan's decomp. of  $A(z)$ .
- **Levelt-Turrittin** decomp. (up to  $z \rightsquigarrow z^{1/e}$ )

$$(F, \nabla) \simeq \bigoplus_{\varphi \in \mathbb{C}((z))} (F_{\varphi}, \nabla^{\text{reg}} + d\varphi \text{Id})$$

Moreover,  $[\varphi] \in \mathbb{C}((z))/\mathbb{C}[[z]]$  and  $(F_{[\varphi]}, \nabla^{\text{reg}})$  ***uniquely determined***.

- Write  $(F_{\varphi}, \nabla^{\text{reg}} + d\varphi \text{Id}) = \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{\varphi} \otimes (F_{\varphi}, \nabla^{\text{reg}})$ .
- $(F, \nabla)$  of ***exponential type*** if any  $[\varphi]$  has simple pole, i.e.,  $[\varphi] = c/z$  for some  $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- **EXAMPLE:** Given  $(E, T, c \in \mathbb{C})$ , consider

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{-c/z} \otimes (E((z)), \nabla) := (E((z)), \nabla - d(c/z)).$$

# $\mathbb{C}((z))$ -vect. spaces with connection

- **EXAMPLE:** Given  $(E, T, c \in \mathbb{C})$ , consider

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{-c/z} \otimes (E((z)), \nabla) := (E((z)), \nabla - d(c/z)).$$

- Choose  $(E_c^k, T) = (H^k(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c}\mathbb{C}_X), T)$   
( $c \in \mathbb{C}$ .)

**PROBLEM:** To give an algebraic formula (in terms of  $f$ )  
for  $\bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} (\widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\text{RH}}^{-1}(E_c^k, T))$ .

# The Brieskorn complex

# The Brieskorn complex

- $X$ : smooth quasi-projective  $/\mathbb{C}$  (Zariski top.)
- $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  ***not necess. projective***

# The Brieskorn complex

- $X$ : smooth quasi-projective  $/\mathbb{C}$  (Zariski top.)
- $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  **not necess. projective**
- $\mathcal{O}_X[[z]] := \varprojlim_{\ell} \mathcal{O}_X[z]/z^{\ell} \mathcal{O}_X[z]$
- $\mathcal{O}_X((z)) := \mathcal{O}_X[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[z]} \mathcal{O}_X[[z]]$   
( $= \varinjlim_k z^{-k} \mathcal{O}_X[[z]]$ )

# The Brieskorn complex

- $X$ : smooth quasi-projective  $/\mathbb{C}$  (Zariski top.)
- $f : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{A}_t^1$  **not necess. projective**
- $\mathcal{O}_X[[z]] := \varprojlim_{\ell} \mathcal{O}_X[z]/z^\ell \mathcal{O}_X[z]$
- $\mathcal{O}_X((z)) := \mathcal{O}_X[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X[z]} \mathcal{O}_X[[z]]$   
 $(= \varinjlim_k z^{-k} \mathcal{O}_X[[z]])$

FORMAL BRIESKORN COMPLEX ATTACHED TO  $f$ :

$$0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_X((z)) \xrightarrow{d-df/z} \Omega_X^1((z)) \xrightarrow{d-df/z} \cdots \Omega_X^{n+1}((z)) \rightarrow 0$$

Equipped with  $\nabla_{\partial_z} := \partial/\partial z + f/z^2$

# Goal for today

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{R}H}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$



# Goal for today

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**REMARKS.**

- Conj. by **Kontsevich**. ( $\stackrel{?}{\implies} \phi_f$  on formal schemes)

# Goal for today

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**REMARKS.**

- Conj. by **Kontsevich**. ( $\stackrel{?}{\implies} \phi_f$  on formal schemes)
- For  $f : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  isol. sing., **Brieskorn** ('70)

$$\begin{aligned} & \implies \\ & \left( \mathcal{H}^k(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq n + 1 \\ \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}^n \phi_f \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}) & \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

# Goal for today

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

**REMARKS.**

- Conj. by **Kontsevich**. ( $\stackrel{?}{\implies} \phi_f$  on formal schemes)
- For  $f : (\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0) \rightarrow (\mathbb{C}, 0)$  isol. sing., **Brieskorn** ('70)  
 $\implies$   

$$\left( \mathcal{H}^k(\Omega_{\mathbb{C}^{n+1}, 0}^\bullet((z))), d - df/z, \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } k \neq n + 1 \\ \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(\mathcal{H}^n \phi_f \mathbb{C}, \mathbf{T}) & \end{cases}$$
- No Hodge theory needed, today, only resol. of sing.

# Assume $f$ proper

**THEOREM 3.2.1.(1)** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]} H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet[z, z^{-1}], d - df/z)), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{E}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{R}H}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

Can prove:  $f$  is proper  $\Rightarrow$  this is equiv. to Th. 3.3.2.

# Assume $f$ proper

Proof: *Reduction to dimension one.*

# Assume $f$ proper

**Proof: *Reduction to dimension one.***

- $M$  a reg. hol.  $\mathbb{C}[t]\langle\partial_t\rangle$ -mod.  $\Rightarrow G$  is  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -free of finite rk =  $\sum_c \dim \phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR}^{\mathrm{an}} M$ ,

$$G := \mathrm{coker} \left[ \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - 1} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \right]$$

equipped with  $\nabla_{\partial_z} = \partial/\partial z + t/z^2$ .

# Assume $f$ proper

**Proof: Reduction to dimension one.**

- $M$  a reg. hol.  $\mathbb{C}[t]\langle\partial_t\rangle$ -mod.  $\Rightarrow G$  is  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -free of finite rk =  $\sum_c \dim \phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR}^{\mathrm{an}} M$ ,

$$G := \mathrm{coker} \left[ \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - 1} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \right]$$

equipped with  $\nabla_{\partial_z} = \partial/\partial z + t/z^2$ .

- **Formal stationary phase formula** gives

$$(\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z]} G, \nabla_{\partial_z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(^p\phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR} M^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{T}).$$

# Assume $f$ proper

**Proof: Reduction to dimension one.**

- $M$  a reg. hol.  $\mathbb{C}[t]\langle \partial_t \rangle$ -mod.  $\Rightarrow G$  is  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -free of finite rk =  $\sum_c \dim \phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR}^{\mathrm{an}} M$ ,

$$G := \mathrm{coker} \left[ \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - 1} \mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}] \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} M \right]$$

equipped with  $\nabla_{\partial_z} = \partial/\partial z + t/z^2$ .

- **Formal stationary phase formula** gives

$$(\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z]} G, \nabla_{\partial_z}) \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1}(^p\phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR} M^{\mathrm{an}}, \mathbb{T}).$$

- Apply to  $M = \mathcal{H}^k f_+ \mathcal{O}_X$  ( $\Rightarrow ^p\phi_{t-c}^p \mathrm{DR} M^{\mathrm{an}} = E_c^k$ )



# Example with $f$ non proper

# Example with $f$ non proper

•  $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ ,  $X = \mathbb{A}_t^1 \setminus \{f' = 0\}$ ,  $f|_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$G := \text{coker} \left( \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f'] [z, z^{-1}] \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - f'} \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f'] [z, z^{-1}] \right)$$

(and  $\ker = 0$  easy).

# Example with $f$ non proper

•  $f \in \mathbb{C}[t]$ ,  $X = \mathbb{A}_t^1 \setminus \{f' = 0\}$ ,  $f|_X : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ ,

$$G := \text{coker} \left( \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f'] [z, z^{-1}] \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - f'} \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f'] [z, z^{-1}] \right)$$

(and  $\ker = 0$  easy).

•  $G$  is  $\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]$ -free of rank  $\deg f \cdot \#\text{Crit } f$

$\Rightarrow \mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z, z^{-1}]} G$  does not give the right answer ( $= 0$ )

# Example with $f$ non proper

•  $\hat{G} = 0$  with

$$\hat{G} := \text{coker} \left( \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']((z)) \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - f'} \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']((z)) \right)$$

# Example with $f$ non proper

- $\widehat{G} = 0$  with

$$\widehat{G} := \text{coker} \left( \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']((z)) \xrightarrow{z\partial_t - f'} \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']((z)) \right)$$

Proof:

- $\ker = 0$  easy

- Given  $\psi_{k_0}, \psi_{k_0+1}, \dots \in \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']$ , can find  $\varphi_{k_0}, \varphi_{k_0+1}, \dots \in \mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']$  s.t.

$$\psi_{k_0} = -f' \varphi_{k_0}, \dots, \quad \psi_{k+1} = \partial_t \varphi_k - f' \varphi_{k+1}, \dots,$$

(solve induct. with  $f'$  invertible in  $\mathbb{C}[t, 1/f']$ ).

# Strategy of the proof

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{R}H}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Strategy of the proof

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathbf{R}H}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right) \end{aligned}$$

- Introduce an intermediate term

$$\left( H^k(X^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right)$$

# Strategy of the proof

**THEOREM 3.3.2.** For each  $k$ ,

$$\left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ \simeq \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\mathrm{RH}}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1}(f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}), \mathbf{T} \right)$$

- Introduce an intermediate term

$$\left( H^k(X^{\mathrm{an}}, (\Omega_{X^{\mathrm{an}}}^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right)$$

- Choose a comm. diag.

$$(3.3.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} X & \xhookrightarrow{j} & Y \\ f \downarrow & & \downarrow F \\ \mathbb{C} & \hookrightarrow & \mathbb{P}^1 \end{array} \quad \begin{array}{l} Y: \text{smooth proj.} \\ D := Y \setminus X: \text{ncd} \end{array}$$



# Strategy of the proof

- GAGA type thm by standard methods:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y, (\Omega_Y^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

# Strategy of the proof

- GAGA type thm by standard methods:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y, (\Omega_Y^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- **Local** statement on  $Y^{\text{an}}$ :

$$\left( \Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z)), d - dF/z \right) \simeq Rj_* \left( \Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z \right)$$

# Strategy of the proof

- GAGA type thm by standard methods:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y, (\Omega_Y^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- **Local** statement on  $Y^{\text{an}}$ :

$$(\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z)), d - dF/z) \simeq Rj_* (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z)$$

- Assume that  $(D \cup \text{crit. fibres})$  is **n.c.d.** Then local computations  $\Rightarrow$  OK.

# Strategy of the proof

- GAGA type thm by standard methods:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^\bullet((z))), d - df/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y, (\Omega_Y^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(Y^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z))), d - dF/z), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right). \end{aligned}$$

- **Local** statement on  $Y^{\text{an}}$ :

$$(\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^\bullet(*D)((z)), d - dF/z) \simeq Rj_*(\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z)$$

- Assume that  $(D \cup \text{crit. fibres})$  is **ncd**. Then local computations  $\Rightarrow$  OK.
- Need a **decomposition thm for proper modifications** to reduce to the ncd case.

# Strategy of the proof

● From

$$(\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}(*D)((z)), d - dF/z) \simeq Rj_* (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z)), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(X^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z)), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

# Strategy of the proof

● From

$$(\Omega_{Y^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}(*D)((z)), d - dF/z) \simeq Rj_*(\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z),$$

we get

$$\begin{aligned} & \left( H^k(X, (\Omega_X^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z)), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\ & \simeq \left( H^k(X^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z)), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \end{aligned}$$

● We now focus on  $X^{\text{an}}$ . Try to relate

$$(\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^{\bullet}((z)), d - df/z)|_{f^{-1}(c)} \quad \text{with} \quad \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}}$$

$\Rightarrow$  **local statement** on  $X^{\text{an}}$ .

# Strategy of the proof

- Would like to compare

$$(\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z)$$

with

$$\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]} (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet[z^{-1}], d - df/z)$$

# Strategy of the proof

- Would like to compare

$$(\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z)), d - df/z)$$

with

$$\mathbb{C}((z)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}[z^{-1}]} (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet[z^{-1}], d - df/z)$$

- More useful:  $\partial_t \leftrightarrow z^{-1}$ , compare with

$$\widehat{\mathcal{E}}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}} (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet[z^{-1}], d - df/z)$$



# Strategy of the proof

- Comparison OK (loc. comput. on resol. of sing. + come back)

# Strategy of the proof

- Comparison OK (loc. comput. on resol. of sing. + come back)
- Use previous results of **M. Saito** and **M. Kapranov** to conclude

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \left( H^k \left( X^{\text{an}}, (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet((z))), d - df/z \right), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\
 & \simeq \left( H^k \left( X^{\text{an}}, \widehat{\mathcal{O}}_{\mathbb{C}} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}_{\mathbb{C}}} (\Omega_{X^{\text{an}}}^\bullet[z^{-1}]), d - df/z \right), \nabla_{\partial_z} \right) \\
 & \quad \bigoplus_{c \in \mathbb{C}} \widehat{\mathcal{O}}^{-c/z} \otimes \widehat{\text{RH}}^{-1} \left( H^{k-1} \left( f^{-1}(c), \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_{X^{\text{an}}} \right), \mathbf{T} \right)
 \end{aligned}$$

# Conclusion for the 3 lectures

# Conclusion for the 3 lectures

- The case  $f$  proper is more comfortable and brings more info.
- If  $f$  not proper, consider a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 X & \xrightarrow{\kappa} & X' \\
 & \searrow f & \downarrow g \\
 & & \mathbb{A}^1
 \end{array}$$

$\Rightarrow (\Omega_X \bullet [z, z^{-1}], d - df/z)$  captures info for  $\phi_{g-c} R\kappa_* \mathbb{C}_X$ .

- **But** in general  $\phi_{g-c} R\kappa_* \mathbb{C}_X \neq R\kappa_{c*} \phi_{f-c} \mathbb{C}_X$ .  
 $(\kappa_c : f^{-1}(c) \hookrightarrow g^{-1}(c))$

# Tame case

# Tame case

- However, if ***tameness assumption***:

$$\forall c \in \mathbb{C}, \quad \text{Supp } \phi_{g-c} R\kappa_* \mathbb{C}_X \cap (X' \setminus X) = \emptyset$$

$\Rightarrow$  can work with  $f$  “***as if***” it were proper.

- Useful in Mirror Symmetry for Fano manifolds (or Fano orbifolds).